

REMARKS**I. Status of Claims.**

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated January 10, 2006. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. By the present amendment, claims 6-10, 14, 17 and 19-20 have been amended in a manner, which is believed to overcome the rejections in the Office Action. No new matter has been added by way of the above amendments.

III Claim Objections.

The Examiner objected to claims 6-8, 10, 14 and 20 because of informalities. Applicant has amended the above claims as Examiner has suggested. Applicant thanks the Examiner for these suggested amendments and respectfully requests that the objections be withdrawn.

III. Claims 9,14 and 19 rejected under 35 USC 112.

The Examiner rejected claims 9, 10, 11, 15-20 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention. Applicant has amended the claims to further emphasize and define his invention and respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

Attorney Docket No. 21221/1-CIP-2
Page 7 of 7

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is believed that in view of the above remarks and amendments, all claims are in condition for allowance, and therefore reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, or resolve any remaining issues, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (617) 856-8238.

By:


John C. Serio, Reg. No. 39,023
Customer No. 21710
Attorney for Applicant
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP
One Financial Center, Box IP
Boston, MA 02111
Tel: 617-856-8238
Fax: 617-856-8201

Dated: April 10, 2006

1424160 v1 - SERIOJC - 021221/0001